Ham-Equip Digest            Mon, 28 Mar 94       Volume 94 : Issue   81

Today's Topics:
                      Comments sought on IC-2330
                        icom-2sat mod problem
                        Information on IC736?
                     teq'ing rx audio: best way?

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party.  Your mileage may vary.  So there.
----------------------------------------------------------------------

Date: 22 Mar 94 20:43:03 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!newshub.nosc.mil!news!news@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: Comments sought on IC-2330
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

I'd like to hear from owners of the IC-2330 about its performance and
usability.   Would you buy one again, and what are its best and worst
features, etc.?

What about the optional tone control board for it?

Related comments: what is the best 222MHz mobile radio sold in the past
8 years?  Explain the reasons you feel that way about the radio,
please.

Post comments for others to read, or send private comments to:
Roger Keating - KD6EFQ
keating@nosc.mil

---------------------------------

Date: 28 Mar 94 06:27:11 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!ux5.lbl.gov!mikec@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: icom-2sat mod problem
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

I've these archive instructions mods for extended xmit for a 2sat:

"...Look for the big IC directly in the middle of the main board on the front
cover (IC-1 40608).  Go to the bottom right corner of it. Move your eyes
slightly to the right and you will see three chip resisters in a row.
Just to the right of THOSE you will see three silk screened dots that are
bracketed by a rectangle of white ink lines where a factory diode package
..."

However, in my radio these pads seem to already have a diode
array (I think it's in an SOT-223 package?) occupying the sites!
Where am I going wrong?

Mike Chin
MJChin@lbl.gov

---------------------------------

Date: 27 Mar 94 18:27:16 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!cs.uiuc.edu!
news1.oakland.edu!vela.acs.oakland.edu!prvalko@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: Information on IC736?
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

I saw one at the Toledo swap last Sunday.  Very impressive.  I think it
is basically a 737 with six meters built in.  Has a ONE HERTZ DDS!

I noticed it received about 45-56 Mhz also.

What I was REALLY interested in was the new IC-820, dual band (VHF/UHF)
all mode base unit.

73 =paul=

All that stuff will be at Dayton, so said N7MBA.

wb8zjl

---------------------------------

Date: Sun, 27 Mar 1994 05:29:53 GMT

From: netcomsv!netcomsv!xyzoom!rob@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: teq'ing rx audio: best way?
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

I'm happy with the Yaesu FT990 I just bought with one exception: the
receive audio on AM needs a little more high-end, through the internal
speaker as well as through two different external speakers I've tried.

I was thinking of trying to find a little in-line equalizer to boost
the treble, but perhaps there's a simpler way?  I think I remember I
can cut the highs with a capacitor, can I do the opposite somehow?
Bass response is fine, I don't want to roll off the bottom at all.

If the equalizer is the best solution, perhaps someone knows where I
could obtain one.

Thanks in advance.
--Rob
--
Rob Lingelbach KB6CUN | 2660 Hollyridge Dr LA CA 90068 213 464 6266 (voice)
rob@xyzoom.info.com   | "I care not much for a man's religion whose dog or
robl@netcom.com       |  cat are not the better for it."  --Abraham Lincoln


-------------------------------

Date: Sun, 27 Mar 1994 06:40:27 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!newshub.ccs.yorku.ca!
apogee.ccs.yorku.ca!edleslie@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

References <5822@tdbunews.teradata.COM>, <Cn5K18.LFp@hplabsz.hpl.hp.com>,
<Cn8sw5.3B0@microsoft.com>ogee.cc
Subject : Re: Yaesu ft530 question

Frederick Einstein (frede@microsoft.com) wrote:
: On my "first generation" FT-530, 800 MHz reception is indeed possible (and works
well)
: if jumper pad 13 is removed.  You must however, reset the radio's memories maybe
a
: couple of times to enable your modifications.  I don't believe that the FT-530
is capable
: of TRANSMITTING on 800 MHz, even with a "new VFO" (see below).  Also, the FT-530
cannot
: do 30 kHz spacing required for monitoring 800 MHz cellular phone bands.

You also lose the automatic repeater shift offset, so I undid my mods a few
hours after I did them.

73 de Ed / VE3ZVZ

------------------------------

Date: 28 Mar 94 01:33:17 GMT
From: agate!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!
crcnis1.unl.edu!unlinfo.unl.edu!gbrown@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

References <2mvjsu$3uk@crcnis1.unl.edu>, <2n06q3$690@crcnis1.unl.edu>,
<2n0qoj$pge@xmission.xmission.com>
Subject : Re: Kenwood a Radio, or Cult Group ???

pbullock@xmission.com (Phil Bullock) writes:

>gregory brown (gbrown@unlinfo.unl.edu) wrote:
>: It's time to come out of the closet...I own a Kenwood...AND a Yaesu.
>: There, I said it, and I feel much better.

>Which is better?

That depends on my mood!  Actually, neither one is a current
"state-of-the-art" rig, by todays standards (and wallets).  It really
isn't fair to compare an FT-757GX with a TS-120S.  Now if you ask me
about how they compare with my DX-20 (age showing)...

73,   Greg WB0RTK

------------------------------

End of Ham-Equip Digest V94 #81
******************************